

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.,
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., and
HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC.,

Plaintiffs / Counterclaim-
Defendants,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.,

Defendants / Counterclaim-
Plaintiffs,

and

SAMSUNG RESEARCH AMERICA,

Defendant,

v.

HISILICON TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.,

Counterclaim-Defendant.

Case No. 3:16-cv-2787-WHO

**JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE STATEMENT**

Date: January 19, 2018

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Dept.: Courtroom G, 15th Floor

Judge: Honorable Joseph C. Spero

Trial Date: December 3, 2018

Pursuant to the Court's "Notice of Reference and Order re Discovery and Case Management Procedures" (Dkt. 189), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), Civil Local Rule 16-9(a), and the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California – Contents of Joint Case Management Statement, Plaintiffs/Counterclaim-Defendants Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Huawei Device USA, Inc., and Huawei Technologies USA, Inc., and Counterclaim-Defendant Hi-Silicon Technologies Co. Ltd. (collectively, "Huawei") and Defendants/Counterclaim-Plaintiffs Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Defendant Samsung Research America (collectively "Samsung") jointly submit this Case Management Statement.

The Court ordered the parties to submit an updated joint case management conference statement on January 12, 2018, to address at least the issue of case narrowing. *See* Dkt. 207 at 1.

///

///

I. NARROWING OF ACCUSED PRODUCTS, AS REQUIRED BY JUDGE ORRICK**1. Joint Statement**

The parties have reached agreement, subject to the Court’s approval, on how to proceed with narrowing of accused products for trial. This agreement resolves the parties’ dispute about how to count a “product” for purposes of case narrowing.

Date	Current Court Language	Agreed Revision
Now	No more than 22 products	No more than 32 models
03/16/18 (after fact discovery)	No more than 18 products	No more than 27 models
06/15/18 (after expert discovery)	No more than 15 products	No more than 23 models
10/19/18 (before pretrial)	No more than 10 products	No more than 15 models

In addition, the parties have agreed that, should the Court permit the Samsung Galaxy Note 8 to be added to the case, it will be counted as a single model on the four carrier networks to which Huawei has limited its claims for trial based on the fact that all of those models use the same baseband processor (which is also used in the Samsung Galaxy S8 and S8+) and all have the same build ID.

2. Huawei’s Statement Regarding Representative Products

Huawei believes that—pending the outcome of discovery—it is likely to be appropriate for the parties to enter into representative products stipulation (or for the Court to enter an order) providing, for example, that an adjudication of infringement with respect to any given model would be deemed to apply to any other models that utilize the same baseband processor. Huawei believes that this approach would address Judge Orrick’s primary goal of simplifying the trial without unjustifiably limiting the extent to which the trial will actually resolve the parties’ overall dispute.

3. Samsung’s Further Statement

Samsung disagrees that the Parties need to enter into a representative products stipulation. The Court’s Case Narrowing Order (Dkt. 143) was set forth to specifically address the issues of simplifying this case for trial. The Court’s Order set forth a case narrowing schedule that permits both parties to present their claims and defenses in a two-week trial. (See Dkt. 143 (“Given that these estimates were based on the full scope of the case prior to any narrowing of patent issues, it seems plausible that the parties could present both patent and FRAND-related issues in a two-

1 week trial.”.) A representative products stipulation in this case is not necessary in light of this
2 Order.

3 **II. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE DISTRICT’S STANDING**
4 **ORDER**

5 The parties previously submitted a Joint Case Management Conference Statement (Dkt. 67)
6 that includes additional information required by the District’s Standing Order, such as the basis for
7 jurisdiction, the factual background for this case, and the principal disputed legal issues. The parties
8 update this information as follows:

9 Motions. There are no pending motions. The parties submitted a joint letter brief on
10 January 9, 2018 regarding addition of the Samsung Galaxy Note 8 smartphone to Huawei’s
11 infringement contentions and narrowed list of accused Samsung products.

12 Huawei plans to file a motion for clarification, or in the alternative seek leave for
13 reconsideration, of the Court’s construction of one claim term (Dkt. 168).

14 Huawei would like to discuss with Judge Spero at the Case Management Conference the
15 Court’s preferences and procedures for a potential motion to amend infringement contentions to
16 include additional information learned during fact discovery.

17 Discovery Status. The parties have propounded and answered interrogatories and requests
18 for production. Depositions and other discovery, including discovery from third parties, are
19 ongoing.

1 Dated: January 12, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

2 By: /s/ Michael J. Bettinger

By: /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven

3 Michael J. Bettinger (SBN 122196)

4 *mbettinger@sidley.com*

Irene Yang (SBN 245464)

5 *irene.yang@sidley.com*

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

6 555 California Street, Suite 2000

7 San Francisco, CA 94104

415-772-1200 – Telephone

8 415-772-7400 – Facsimile

9 David T. Pritikin (*pro hac vice*)

10 *dpritikin@sidley.com*

David C. Giardina (*pro hac vice*)

11 *dgiardina@sidley.com*

Douglas I. Lewis (*pro hac vice*)

12 *dilewis@sidley.com*

John W. McBride (*pro hac vice*)

13 *jwmcbride@sidley.com*

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

14 One South Dearborn

15 Chicago, IL 60603

312-853-7000 – Telephone

16 312-853-7036 – Facsimile

17 *Attorneys for Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.,*

18 *Huawei Device USA, Inc., Huawei*

Technologies USA, Inc., and HiSilicon

19 *Technologies Co., Ltd.*

Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151)

charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

David A. Perlson (Cal. Bar No. 209502)

davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

415-875-6600 – Telephone

415-875-6700 – Facsimile

Kevin Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)

kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com

Victoria Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)

victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor

Redwood Shores, CA 94065

650-801-5000 – Telephone

650-801-5100 – Facsimile

Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,

Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and

Samsung Research America, Inc.

ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filer of this document attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories above.

Dated: January 12, 2018

By: /s/ Michael J. Bettinger

Michael J. Bettinger

*Attorneys for Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.,
Huawei Device USA, Inc., Huawei Technologies
USA, Inc., and HiSilicon Technologies Co. Ltd.*